STENOTYPE DEPOSITION AND CONVENTION REPORTING COMPANY

Hearing before the Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles County in the Matter of: Submitting nominations for Mental Health Advisory Board with recommendation that appointments be made and Advisory Board be activated. (Continued from 2/11 meeting).

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Let's talk about mental health. We have quite a number in the group present here this morning, I see a dozen or more, that have said they want to address the Board. I think we should accommodate the folks if they are here.

If it meets with the approval of the Board members, I would suggest we jump and take No. 140 on the agenda.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Has this been called for a public hearing?

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Mr. Dorn has just asked if this calls for a public hearing. Let's have your attention, please. It hasn't been proposed a public hearing.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Mr. Chairman, as far as
I am concerned, if we are going to have people speak to
any matter before this Board, there should be ample advertisement held and time given for interested parties from
both sides and a regular hearing should be held.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: This was up four weeks ago for consideration, and we were requested by a number of individuals present who wanted time to review. Now they

7.4

are here this morning and would like to address the Board. We got a list here. There are eight on the list that have contacted. We have eight individuals here that want to address the Board on this matter. Now, what is the Board's desire?

SUPERVISOR FORD: Mr. Chairman, apparently, somewhat to my surprise, this is quite controversial, and I think it would be much fairer to people concerned, if we are going to have a more or less semi-formal hearing, that the proponents of this project be present so they can have a first-hand knowledge as to the arguments against it. I am not prepared to take up the necessary—obviously we can't meet the requirements of these people or requirements of the supporters of the project in five or ten minutes. I think a courtesy to everybody concerned would be to set it over for a later date.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: It does seem to be highly controversial Mr. Ford.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Are both sides here this morning?

CHAIRMAN CHACE: How many in opposition to this program, will you stand so we can see. Well, that doesn't leave very many present that would be for it.

Thank you, now you can be seated. Now let's see if there is anyone here in support of the program. Apparently it's all in opposition. Of course, there was no way of knowing

for anyone to be present; that is, the Board issued none.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Do these people have someone who can speak for all of them?

CHAIRMAN CHASE: No. There are eight of them that indicated that they want to be heard.

SUPERVISOR DORN: It's a shame to ask them all to come back.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: I don't know as we should.

SUPERVISOR FORD: I had no conception this
was going to be—I have no opinion on this whole matter
as far as that is concerned. I had no conception this
was going to be in the nature of a public demonstration.
But, out of my past experience in fairness to the other
side and apparently there is another side because this is
a result, if I am properly informed, of legislation which
has received approval in Sacramento. I would like to give
—if there was one gentleman, somebody who could speak fir
this group and set a time when we can have a real hearing.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Is this gentleman prepared to speak in support of the program? Support or against it?

VOICE: Against it.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Just a minute. Let's talk among the Board members and see how we want to proceed.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Mr. Chairman, and Members

many communications from people concerning this project.

Most of the postcards tha I have received said, "vote against the Short-Doyle Act." Some of them even said that it was communistic inspired and trend to socialized medicine, and some of the other cards received just said, "Vote against the Short-Doyle Act. We don't want any mental health program in Los Angeles County."

Now, for the information of the Board and the public that is here, the provisions of the state law introduced by Senator Short and Assemblyman Doyle, one a Democrat and one a Republican, introduced the Short-Doyle Act in the last session of the Legislature. The Legislature voted for it and the Governor signed the Bill. And, as I understand it from the information received just in the past week that there was considerable opposition to it in Sacramento. Maybe some of you people went up there. How many went up there to Sacramento? Some went up to Sacramento and opposed it.

Now this Bill as I have read it states the following. It states that every county in California, or any community with fifty tousand population or more, either a city or county at large could set up a mental health clinical program where citizens could voluntarily go on their own free will.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Let's have your attention

please.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUPERVISOR HAHN: To go on their own free 2 will to go to a clinic to receive counseling for mental 3 and nervous problems. Also, it provides that the Chairman 4 of the Board of every county where they institute the pro-5 grams should be a member of the advisory Board. That the 6 7 judge of the Superior Court should designate a member, the 8 judge of the Superior Court that the director of the pro\_ 9 gram should be a medical doctor and that there should be on the board, a seven man board which the three that I 10 have already said should be on it plus two outstanding cit; 11 12 zens and Los Angeles Medical Society, fully recognized in

the society of this County has nominated three people.

The Los Angeles County Medical Society has nominated individuals to serve on it.

The Mental Health Foundation or Mental Hygene Foundation have nominated some outstanding communitations to serve on this board.

Now the Act itself states that the County shall be reimbursed at fifty-fifty proposition for the operation of it. They won't be building any buildings, just as I understand the program would be to offer this service for the community for the individuals who need help.

Now on this count alone we had twenty-five thousand divorces granted to people who couldn't get along

divorce court and file with their attorney for the procings, very few cases in the court have reconciliations,

far that they almost go ahead and complete it.

can do the jobs of preventing the divorces. They go so

There are many people suffering from ten

sion and strain and that the legislature adopted this program making available, and the governor signed it ma

available to the people of California this counseling p gram.

Now there has been some misinformation i not downright misrepresentation to the fact this Bill would be a-someone came to my church last Sunday a wee

all that; but if this Bill goes through, you could ship us to Alaska if we don't vote." I have never heard of such a thing.

There is another provision where we can

ago Sunday and said, "Mr. Hahn, you are a fine man and

compel people to go into an institution and be sent to Norwalk and Camerillo, and I told them that the only pe son that can do that is a court judge. It couldn't be advisory board. The advisory board would be to set up this program.

Now I suggest that we continue this matter and that the Clerk, and I am going to so move to make copies of the Short-Doyle Bill that everyone should read

the Bill and read what the State Legislature passed and then and what the County is doing and also—if it's wrong, then and what the County is doing and also—if it's wrong, we want to be told about it. If it's right, then I think we want to be told about it. And I think that also everyone should know about it. And I think that also both sides, the Mental Health Foundation should be given an opportunity to hear about it and present their facts with the competent medical people to demonstrate what is planned.

Now, maybe we only heard one side of this, and maybe there is two sides to it, but I certainly am in favor of a public hearing and certainly in favor of everyone reading the Bill, and I am certainly in favor of every citizen, if they don't like it, have the right to object to it and point out its errors and if it's wrong, we shouldn't adopt it, and if it's right, we should.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Mr. Dorn has asked for the

floor.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Mr. Chairman, as I recall the legislation referred to as the Short-Doyle Act is a permissive act. I wonder if the County Counsel could correct me if I am wrong.

MR. MAHARG: It isn't a mandatory-it isn's something that this County Board of Supervisors must adopt at this time, and as far as I am personally concerned, I think that until there is a greater demonstration of a need for such a program to be installed here in our County, I

5

would just like to see the matter laid on the table. I think Mr. Hahn's suggestion is a good one that the clerk be instructed to give to any and all interested persons copies of the Bill and perhaps it might be well to have along with these copies an explanation as to how this might be implimented or carried out in a program here in the County. But, I think myself at this time, there is carried on by the various doctors and private physicians and psychologists and psychiatrists ample treatment for those who need it and I don't like to see ourselves involved in a large bureaucratic situation unless there is a greater need demonstrated.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: I am going to have to ask your co-operation please and refrain from applause.

SUPERVISOR DORN: I think there is certainly a lot of misunderstanding and some misinformation concerning the effect of the implimentation of such a program, but as far as I am concerned, personally concerned, unless and until there is a greater demonstration of a need, I think the Board would be acting wisely to carry on as we are supporting our programs that we have presently going on.

Certainly we are all interested in and all trying to contribute to the betterment of the mental health program of our County and in the various communities of our County, and I don't like to see us become involved in

a thing of this kind before a greater need is demonstrated.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: All right, you have spoken very frankly. Let's hear Mr. Ford. Do you care to
comment.

SUPERVISOR FORD: I feel--first of all, I wonder if Mr. Dorn is fully acquainted with all the distressing facts regarding the mental health situation. Also, I am very anxious to hear what these protestants have to say because, as I said before, I don't know the merits of this case. I don't know the situation, but I am aware, and I think even some of the demonstration here emphasizes that there is a great mental disturbance. Speaking somewhat facetiously, but the whole question of treating of mental diseases is one of the great scientific challenges of our today and I don't know what the answer is, but Mr. Dorn, our present method of handling this is costly and ineffective and overrules in a great many tragedies.

All you have to do is go over to the mental health hospital and talk with any of the people over there or any of the judges who have presided. Now how we are going to improve this is another questions, but I am anxious to hear and listen with an open mind to these protestants.

I am disturbed about one thing and that is perhaps and maybe their arguments are sound, but the accusations made this is communist inspired and how that

could be it seems to me I don't conceive of it, but it
may be so. Maybe the Governor has been deluded, maybe
the majority of the legislature has been deluded, but
maybe the Los Angeles Medical Society have all been deluded
and nobody sees the truth except people like yourselves,
but I am willing to listen with an open mind, but it is
a very grave problem, Mr. Dorn and members of the Board.
This whole question of mental illness is costly and necessary to society, the tragedy that comes and possibilities
of remedy I think are very great just as we have painfully
explored the possibilities of surgery and medicine. So,
there must be channels in which we can help heal the disturbed minds and thatis my concern.

I agree with Mr. Hahn that we should give everybody an opportunity to be heard adequately, and we should have a special hearing.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: I might comment briefly.

There is one defect in the Act that I think should be corrected and that is to the effect that the present time any city in the County of fifty thousand or more population can initiate their own program. Well, if they do, they are still accessed and pay for the County-wide program which to me seems veryunfair.

I think that the Act should be at least amended to eliminate that undesirable feature.

SUPERVISOR FORD: Overlapping taxation.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Overlapping taxation, yes. I am going to speak very briefly. I haven't very much to say on this particular subject itself. It is a broad subject and I agree with Mr. Dorn. At the present time the matter should be held in advance.

I don't think we are justified even at the moment to call a public hearing. That is my frank thinking on the matter. I would like to see it tabled and held
in advance. I think the Legislature is going to make some
amendments to the Bill. I am satisfied there will be some
amendments made that will be beneficial, so I think, perhaps at this session, yes, so I think it should be just
held in advance.

I think the state is going to continue to give financial help to the County as ithas in the past. At least we are not going to lose any financial help from the state. If it becomes apparent we are going to lose that then we have a different situation that needs correction, but right for the moment, I would like to see the matter just put on the table and held in advance. That is exactly what I think.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: When you mean put on the table--Some of these citizens--

CHAIRMAN CHACE: No action.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: You mean not to do anything for the mental health program on the Short-Doyle act.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: At the present time.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: You don't feel there is any need for this program.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Well, that is putting it a little bluntly, but could be argued of course, but I don't believe I am prepared to answer that yes or no at the moment. I think I made myself very clear in my remarks Mr. Hahn.

Maybe we should have a full Board before we make a decision on this. Apparently we are somewhat divided. It is about two to two, but the matter before us right for the moment is, shall we hold this matter in advance or do you want to hear from the folks here?

SUPERVISOR HAHN: I think we could.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: We could take ten or twenty minutes perhaps and limit these folks if they would like to address us. I would suggest twenty minutes.

Does that meet with the approval of the Board?

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Just a minute and I will make a motion. As you indicated, only one side is here.

Now I want the facts from both sides. Icertainly want to hear from the people who sponsered this program. I certainly think that the Mental Hygene Foundation, I think the medical society of this County, that the proper authorities should have an opportunity to express themselves, and I don't think there should be any hysterical action or

one-sided point of view, or just adopting something here 1 that does not reflect the truth or does not reflect the 2 I am going to move that we set a proper time so 3 that both sides will have an opportunity to come and give 4 a public notice so everyone interested can be here rather 5 than just the people who are aginst it. Now I think that 6 is nothing but fair and I will move that we give four weeks 7 or six weeks. Give ample time for everyone to arrange 8 their calendar to be here at a set time. I suggest maybe 9 on a Thursday morning. 10 MR. NESVIG: The third and seventeenth of 11 April and tenth and twenty-fourth are open. The first of 12 April, but we have a meeting on the third and seventh. 13 CHAIRMAN CHACE: Mr. Ford, you are going 14 on vacation. Will you be back? 15 16 I will be back before the SUPERVISOR FORD: 17 seventh. SUPERVISOR HAHN: All right, we will make 18 19 it the seventh of April. 20 21

CHAIRMAN CHACE: I think it would be a mistake to make it on a regular meeting day. I think it should be set at another time.

MR. NESVIG: The tenth is open or the twentyfourth.

The twenty-fourth of SUPERVISOR HAHN: April; that will give everybody an opportunity to be present,

25

22

23

and if these people here who are here have something we should know about, I want you to publically state it over this microphone so everyone can hear it, and the truth will come out. If you have something we should know, I certainly want you to tell us.

MR. NESVIG: The twenty-fourth of April.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: This will give ample time.

VOICE: Could we have at least two months, at least two months. That will give the opposition ample time.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Well, six weeks or two months absolutely makes no difference to me.

MR. NESVIG: Mr. Hahn, I would like to call to your attention that we will have salary hearing and other budgetary matters in May. I recommend we don't have it in May.

SUPERVISOR FORD: Budget in May and June.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: I don't see any reason-what about April twenty-fourth?

SUPERVISOR DORN: Could I ask a question Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Don't you think, Mr. Hahn, we should let these people speak very briefly this morning? They made a special trip in here. I don't want to go into any lengthy discourse, but if we could, say ten or twenty minutes, put a limited time on them.

Fifteen

21

22

23

24

25

26

SUPERVISOR FORD: I shall object. or twenty minutes constitutes an extended hearing. that isn't fair to the opposition. We are trying to give both sides an opportunity to be heard. I don't think it is fair to have a presentation of fifteen or twenty minutes that would probably run to even more without the opposition being here. CHAIRMAN CHACE: All right, we have a motion Will you state your motion against Mr. Hahn. before us. Well, you heard the Clerk SUPERVISOR HAHN: state in May that the Board will be discussing full hearings on the salaries and budget. Is that correct? MR. NESVIG: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: To give ample time so you people won't have a few minutes, so you will have ample time, right now I move for the April --

MR. NESVIG: April twenty-fourth at ninethirty A.M.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Then in the meantime will the Clerk make copies of the Short-Doyle Act and if any citizen wants them, you write to the Clerk of the Board and he will send you one.

I would still like to ask for two VOICE: months.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: I am sorry, I don't believe we can recognize anyone present. It seems to be the wish

of the Board that we confine our remarks to just the members themselves here this morning, so I don't believe I can recognize anyone present until we see what the nature of the motion is going to be here and Mr. Dorn has asked for the floor. Be patient and take your seats.

SUPERVISOR DORN: What I would like to know is how this was placed on the agenda. How did this--who initiated or how was this brought to the Board's attention so that we are now discussing it on the agenda? Was this done by a group of people?

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Can the Clerk answer that please.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Mr. Chairman, if the Supervisor of the Fifth District will recall if he was here and thinking, this was up on the subject four weeks ago and had some citizens in the audience who wanted to continue this for four weeks.

SUPERVISOR DORN: No, no, I mean diginally.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Originally I brought in
a resolution following out the Short-Doyle Act. It was
called to attention by the Mental Health Department. The
law was effective, I believe, October eleventh of last
year. It was passed at the last legislature and no law
is enforced until ninty days after the legislature adjourns
then it was September eleventh.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Well, you answered the

question. It came to the Board as a request from the Mental Health.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Who did the communication come from?

MR. NESVIG: It was the Board's instruction, Mr. Hahn's motion requesting the counselor of Mental Health to advise the Board of the method of setting up this program which they did in a letter of January thirty-first, but was before the Board on February eleventh and at that time it was continued four weeks until this date.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Has it been recommended by any department of the County Government that the County Board adopt such a program as is called for in the Short-Doyle Act?

SUPERVISOR HAHN: I am sure you have a letter from Mrs. McGuinnis, the director of the Mental Health
Department and if you have read that--

SUPERVISOR DORN: But I recall this was referred to her by the Board, wasn't it?

SUPERVISOR HAHN: That is right, and she wrote a report and she did recommend it and I believe the CAO approved the recommendations.

SUPERVISOR DORN: In other words, the Board has a recommendation from Miss McGuinnis and Mr. Hollinger to proceed with the appointment of a board, is that right?

MR. HOLLINGER: That is correct. We have

l

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the suggested names which were presented by Mrs. McGuinnis for consideration of the Board.

SUPERVISOR DORN: What was that?

MR. HOLLINGER: Mrs. McGuinnis submitted the names of candidates on an order of the Board which is a part of Mr. Hahn's original resolution that you adopted October 29.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: I think you recall that the Board asked the Mental Health Department to give their recommendations and follow out the procedures of the state law on this matter. Now, if we are going to do everything on this Board in order and in conformity to the state law and no one is going to either object to the program just for objecting sake, but if there is a state law passed by the legislature of California and signed by the Governor that this service is available to the community in it and the wisdom of the Legislature they passed this law after full debate and offered this service to every county in California, I think we would be remissed in our duty if we did not get a recommendation from our Mental Health Department to see if it is worthy of this County or not and also the recommendations of our Medical authority in this Field.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: All right, we have a motion before us by Supervisor Hahn that we hold an informal hearing on this matter of Mental Health at nine-thirty A.N.

on April 24, here in the Board room. Now we cannot advertise this in any way at all. It would be just by press notice I presume. Mr. Maharg, what would be the legal status of the matter?

MR. MAHARG: I think the Clerk could get the co-operation of the press and notify any interested parties who requested notification.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: To be a strictly informal hearing.

MR. MAHARG: That it would.

SUPERVISOR DORN:

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Any other comments?

I am going to make a

substitute motion that the matter of the Board's participation in the provisions provided for in a permissive Bill called the Short-Doyle Act be referred to the Citizen's Hospital Committee for their pursual and recommend to the Board. It seems to me that we are not yet--there has not been a need demonstrated or required for action at this time and I think we have a Hospital Committee that is concerned with carrying out for people in this County, carrying out programs for their mecessary needs. And its being a very good committee has been stated earlier today, and I think they should be given a chance to review the benefits that might be derived from such a program, and make a recommendation to the Board as to the need of such a program, and therefore move the matter be referred to

that committee for their pursual and recommendation to the Board.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Any comment?

SUPERVISOR FORD: I think perhaps they should be invited to share in the hearing. Are you substituting—this is a substitute Mr. Hahn's motion that we hold no hearing and let them decide for us.

SUPERVISOR DORN: I think if the Committee were to come back after reviewing the facts and after showing there is a need, then I think the Board should publicize a hearing and hold a hearing for both sides to be heard and the Board take action after that. I think we are moving on this thing too quickly in my opinion and I want to make it very clear. Mr. Hahn thinks I am critical of him. I was not. I was trying to find out how this thing started.

Now just because the State Legislature in its wisdom passes a permissive act which they do every day of the Legislature when they are in session is no reason why this Board, as a governing body of this County, has to adopt all of these acts. In my opinion it is our duty to be responsive to the need of our people, and I don't find a need at this time for this kind of legislation. Therefore, I would like to have it referred to the proper committee and then come back for hearings and then take action.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: You know, Mr. Dorn, I have not objected to having it referred to this Committee. I think it is a wise suggestion. Then by this hearing six weeks away the Committee can meet and review it and we will have our public hearing and the report of our Hospital Committee. I think this will either strengthen this report or the Committees say that it shouldn't be adopted. If the Committee of our hospital said it shouldn't be adopted, than that shall answer many of the problems that are before us. I think we should use the Citizen's Committee.

SUPERVISOR DORN: That is my substitute motion to be referred to that Committee for their pursual of the Bill as it might effect the County and people of the County and its recommendation this Board should look into the possibilities of such a program, then we should call for such a hearing and hear both sides giving ample time to a special day for that hearing.

I am not ready to move into it now just because the legislature happened to pass a permissive act. They passed them by the dozens.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Mr. Dorn, your statements are getting a little bit for the audience's sake.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Let's have your consideration please.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: I rather am amazed at

your saying the Legislature passes these permissive legislations by the dozens. There is much deliberation on them, and I am certain that many of the Assemblymen and Senators that I know are conscientious and they don't pass permissive legislation for the County by the dozens.

SUPERVISOR DORN: I think they do.

take their work just as hard as you take yours. I think that the motion is fine and I will support it, but in the meantime, I think we should ask our Citizen's Committee to get the report back by this public hearing. I think these people are entitled to a public hearing one way or the other, and I think they should have their hearing and if you have something we should know about, the only way you are going to tell us is by a public hearing. Therefore, I support the motion with the idea we have the public hearing as stated, and the Citizen's Committee have the report back to us in six weeks' time.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Mr. Hahn, we can't vote for the substitute motion and continue to have the hearing because this motion takes place of the hearing.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Why can't that substitute be amended to include out Citizen's Committee on hospitals and to review it pending the date of the public hearing and go ahead with the public hearing. I think everyone wants to have a public hearing.

5

SUPERVISOR FORD: I agree with that, but I don't think the Citizen's Committee should be made a substitute for this hearing.

SUPERVISOR DORN: No. I think if, in the judgment of the Citizen's Committee, that we all have confidence in, we just appointed them and commended their activities, if in their judgment this Board should hold hearings and pursue further the need as demonstrated by their findings, then we should hold a hearing. I think there should be at least two months' notice given and these people should be given ample chance to be heard.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: But your motion, if it carries, it does not commit this Board to a public hear-ing.

SUPERVISOR DORN: How in the world could I refer something to a committee and tell them what to do after I referred it to them?

CHAIRMAN CHACE: I want to make that clear.

SUPERVISOR DORN: I want to have the Committee a free hand to recommend as best they can as to this Board's action. I am not going to make a motion to tell the Committee what to do. This is not the function of the Citizen's Committee.

SUPERVISOR FORD: May I ask Mr. Leach who submitted the details of the Bill? Are we approaching any deadlines on this thing?

MR. LEACH: I think there is a deadline of April thirtieth to submit any program you might have in mind in next year's budget so the State would have reimbursements of money.

SUPERVISOR FORD: We would have to make up our minds by next year. That is the physical year, by April thirtieth. That is, the next physical year. I would amend Mr. Dorn's motion to the effect that we ask the Citizen's Hospital Committee to review this and be prepared to bring to the public hearing, or supply in advance with a written appraisal and estimate of their opinion of this Bill, and the action that they recommend, either in writing or at the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Well, the amendment is a little complicated. Do you have it Mr. Clerk?

MR. NESVIG: Mr. Ford, I believe you would have to actually set the public hearing. This has not been done yet in your motion.

SUPERVISOR FORD: Public hearing for April twenty-fourth.

MR. NESVIG: Refer to the Citizen's Hospital Advisory Committee for their consideration and report to the Board prior to a public hearing which will be set on April 24, 1958, at 9:30 A.M.

ment to Mr. Dorn's substitute, is that correct, is that

1	where we are? Am I correct on that?
2	MR. MAHARG: That is my understanding.
3	CHAIRMAN CHACE: Okay, I want to follow
4	protocol here. I don't believe I can support it myself.
5	Are you ready for the question? Call the roll on amend-
6	ment.
7	SUPERVISOR DORN: I would like to ask John
8	what is the intent of the amendment?
9	SUPERVISOR FORD: We want to find out what
10	the Citizen's Committee thinks of this deal.
11	SUPERVISOR DORN: That is what my motion
12	calls for.
13	SUPERVISOR FORD: But I am not leaving it
14	up to them to make the final decision. We will make the
15	final decision.
16	SUPERVISOR DORN: But can't we have a re-
17	port from them?
18	SUPERVISOR FORD: Not and get it all in by
19	the thirtieth of April.
20	CHAIRMAN CHACE: That is public hearing.
21	I don't feel we need a public hearing as I interpreted
22	the amendment. I am not prepared at the present time to
23	call that. Are you ready for the amendment?
24	SUPERVISOR DORN: I would much prefer my
25	motion.
26	CHAIRMAN CHACE: Are you ready for the

amendment to the question? Call the roll on the amend-1 ment to the substitute. 2 MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Hahn. 3 4 SUPERVISOR HAHN: Aye. MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Ford 5 SUPERVISOR FORD: Aye. 6 7 MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Dorn. 8 SUPERVISOR DORN: No. 9 MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Chace. 10 CHAIRMAN CHACE: No. Motion lost. 11 Now on the substitute motion referring this 12 whole matter to the Citizen's Committee known as our 13 Hospital Citizen's Committee. 14 SUPERVISOR HAHN: That was the substitution? 15 MR. NESVIG: Mr. Dorn's motion left out the 16 hearing Mr. Hahn. 17 SUPERVISOR HAHN: I don't see what is wrong 18 with a public hearing. These people have a right to ex-19 press themselves. What are we afraid of, letting the 20 people talk? I certainly -- I made a simple motion. I 21 might disagree with you, but I want everybody to have a 22 right to speak out and what is wrong with a public hear-23 ing? 24 CHAIRMAN CHACE: Would give us a substi-25 If I interpreted it correct, it is referring tube motion. 26 it to the Citizen's Committee withoutany recommendation,

isn't it?

MR. NESVIG: For their consideration and report to the Board.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Not to exceed four weeks.

A report within four weeks time which, in my opinion,
would give us ample time to set a public hearing before the
need for action by the Board, if such a hearing is in the
Board's wisdom needed at that time.

SUPERVISOR HAHN: Mr. Chairman, I don't understand Mr. Dorn atall. Here he voted against referring this to the Committee with setting a date for public hearing that everyone here will know the date and they can come back; now, you just want to refer it to them without giving a notice or ample time so these people can adjust their schedule to come back down here.

Now my motion was just to set a certain date at a certain time, and in the meantime refer it to the Committee to have the report back by that time, but go ahead and have a public hearing.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: We will probably get to your motion after a bit, your original motion for an informal public hearing.

Now, we have a substitute motion by Mr. Dorn referring this matter to our Citizen's Committee on hospitals to report within four weeks.

SUPERVISOR DORN: Make it three weeks.

program.

SUPERVISOR FORD: I think I will concede this much. If you want to wait to decide on a public hearing until you hear from the Committee--personally, I think we are going to have a public hearing, but if you want to wait and see what they say and then made the determination as to a public hearing, and I think I can go along with that.

SUPERVISOR DORN: John, as long as this has been brought up and as long as Kenny has questioned my intention, my intent is not to refer something to a Committee and then prejudge how they are going to recommend This committee may, in my opinion, recommend in favor or against depending upon their findings and I don't think we should tie them to something when we refer something to them. In ther words, if we are committing ourselves to a program, why refer at all?

SUPERVISOR FORD: I am not committed to the

SUPERVISOR HAHN: We just want a public hearing.

SUPERVISOR FORD: We are asking for a public hearing.

CHAIRMAN CHACE: Will the Clerk read the substitute motion that will be before us please.

MR. NESVIG: Refer to the Citizen's Hospital Advisory Committee for their consideration and report to

the Board within the time of three weeks. 1 SUPERVISOR DORN: As to their recommendations. 2 SUPERVISOR HAHN: I also resent Mr. Dorn 3 saying we are telling our Citizen's Committee we are pre-4 judging and telling them how to decide it. We just wanted 5 their comments on 1t. 6 SUPERVISOR DORN: That is all we want. That 7 is all I want. 8 I think we are just making SUPERVISOR HAHN: 9 a much to-do about nothing. I will vote for it and have a 10 report back, but I still think these people are entitled 11 to a public hearing. 12 SUPERVISOR DORN: I will listen to them 13 14 right now. CHAIRMAN CHACE: We have a question on the 15 The motion to refer to the Citizen's Committee. 16 motion. is it three weeks or four weeks? 17 MR. NESVIG: Three weeks. 18 CHAIRMAN CHACE: Call the roll on the sub-19 20 stitute motion. 21 MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Hahn. 22 SUPERVISOR HAHN: Aye. Supervisor Ford. 23 MR. NESVIG: 24 SUPERVISOR FORD: Aye. 25 MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Dorn. 26 SUPERVISOR DORN. Aye.

MR. NESVIG: Supervisor Chace.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Aye. So ordered. It is

referred to the Citizen's Committee to report back in three

weeks, Citizen's Committee on Hospitals, so there will be

no action here today.